

# ASSIGNMENT-1A

## Galois Theory

TRISHAN MONDAL  
(Bmat 2144)

### § Problem 1

**Problem.** Let  $K$  be an extension of  $F$ , and  $\alpha \in K$  be algebraic over  $F$ . Show that the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$  is same as the minimal polynomial of the  $F$ -linear transformation  $T_\alpha : K \rightarrow K$  defined by  $T_\alpha(v) = \alpha v$  for all  $v \in K$ .

*Proof.* Let,  $m_{F,\alpha}(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$  and  $m_{T_\alpha}(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of the linear transformation  $T_\alpha$ . Since,  $\alpha$  is the eigenvalue of the linear transformation  $T_\alpha$  we can say,  $m_{T_\alpha}(\alpha) = 0$ . Since,  $m_{F,\alpha}$  is the monic, irreducible polynomial of minimal degree which has root  $\alpha$ ,  $m_{F,\alpha}(x) \mid m_{T_\alpha}(x)$ . For any  $v \in K$  we can write,

$$m_{F,\alpha}(T_\alpha)(v) = m_{F,\alpha}(\alpha)(v) = 0$$

and hence,  $m_{T_\alpha} \mid m_{F,\alpha}$ . From here we can conclude that,  $m_{T_\alpha}(x) = m_{F,\alpha}(x)$ . ■

### § Problem 2

**Problem.** Determine whether or not you can construct the following  $n$ -gons using straightedge and compass:

- (i) 5 -gon
- (ii) 9 -gon.

*Solution.* (i) **Regular 5-gon is constructible.** It is equivalent to show that,  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{5}$  is constructible. Let,  $\alpha = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{5}$ , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 + \alpha - 1 &= 4 \cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{5} + 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{5} - 1 \\ &= 4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{10} + 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{10} - 1 \\ &= 4 \left( 1 - \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{10} \right) + 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{10} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\cos \frac{\pi}{10}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{10}} \left( 4 \left( 1 - \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{10} \right) + 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{10} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin \frac{2\pi}{10} - \cos \frac{3\pi}{10}}{\cos \frac{2\pi}{10}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we know,  $\alpha = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  (as  $\alpha > 0$ ) which is constructible.

(ii) **Regular 9-gon is not constructible.** It is equivalent to show  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  is constructible. Since  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{3} = -\frac{1}{2}$ . We can easily see  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  satisfies the following polynomial,

$$8x^3 - 6x + 1 = 0$$

Which means  $2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  satisfy  $x^3 - 3x + 1$ . If the above polynomial was reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  it must have a linear factor. If the cubic polynomial has a rational solution  $\frac{p}{q}$  then by rational root theorem,  $|p| = 1$  and  $|q| = 1$ . we can easily see that  $\pm 1$  is not root of the cubic polynomial. So,  $x^3 - 3x + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , this means  $2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  lies in degree 3 extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$  i.e it is not constructible. ■

### § Problem 3

**Problem.** Decide if the following constructions are possible. If yes, show the methods of construction. If no, state reasons.

- (i) Construct a square whose area is equal to that of a given triangle.
- (ii) Construct a square whose area is same as the area of a circle of unit radius.
- (iii) Construct side length of a cube of volume 2.

*Solution.* (i) **We can do such construction.** Let  $ABC$  is a triangle with  $\angle A$  being the largest and hence  $BC$  is the largest side. We can drop a perpendicular  $AH$  to  $BC$  now the area of  $\Delta ABC = \frac{1}{2}BC.AH$ . Since  $BC$  is already constructed we can construct  $\frac{BC}{2}$  and  $AH$  is also constructed. So we can construct their product by the method discussed in class. We also can construct a line of length  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}BC.AH}$ , since square root of a constructed number is also constructible.

**Construction.** Let a triangle  $ABC$  be given in the plane. We first construct a rectangle with area equal to that of  $ABC$  using the following steps:

i) Construct the line parallel to  $AB$  through  $C$ . ii) Construct the line perpendicular to  $AB$  at  $A$ . Let the two lines above intersect at  $C'$ . iii) Construct the midpoint  $M$  of  $AC'$ . iv) Construct the fourth vertex  $D$  of the rectangle determined by the vertices  $A, B, M$  as the intersection of the perpendicular to  $AB$  through  $B$  and the line parallel to  $AB$  through  $M$ . Extend  $AB$  to  $AB'$  where  $|BB'| = |BM|$ , by constructing a circle of radius  $BM$  centered at  $B$ . v) Construct the midpoint of  $AB'$  and a circle of radius  $\frac{|AB'|}{2}$  centered at this point. vi) Construct the perpendicular to  $AB'$  through  $B$ , and let it intersect the circle at  $E$ . vii) Construct the square  $BEFG$  with side length  $|BE|$ .

(ii) **Such construction is not possible.** If it was possible we can construct  $\sqrt{\pi}$  and hence we can construct  $\pi$  and hence  $\pi$  must belong to some finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $2^k$  but we know,  $\pi$  is not algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, it is not possible.

(ii) **Such construction is not possible.** It's equivalent to construct  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ . We know the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $x^3 - 2$  which means  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  lies in some degree 3 extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is not a power of two. ■

### § Problem 4

**Problem.** Let  $C$  be the field of constructible real numbers. Prove that  $C$  is the smallest subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the property that if  $a \in C$  and  $a > 0$ , then  $\sqrt{a} \in C$ .

*Proof.* We know  $\mathbb{Q}$  is constructible, if  $r_1$  is constructible then every elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{r_1}) = F_1$  is also constructible, we can continue this argument and construct fields  $F_i$  such that  $F_i = F_{i-1}(\sqrt{r_i})$  and all these fields are constructible. By Zorn's lemma there exist a maximal element  $C$  such that all element here is constructible. If for any  $s \in C$ ,  $\sqrt{s} \notin C$  then,  $C(\sqrt{s})$  is the bigger field than  $C$  and here all elements are constructible, this contradicts the maximality of  $C$  and hence  $\sqrt{r} \in C$ . Let,  $F$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  which has the property, ' $a \in F \Rightarrow \sqrt{a} \in F$ '. Since,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is prime field  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$ , by the construction shows above each  $F_i$  are also contained in  $F$  and hence by Zorn's lemma  $C$  is also contained in  $F$ . Thus,  $C$  is the minimal with the property, ' $a \in F \Rightarrow \sqrt{a} \in F$ '. ■

## § Problem 5

**Problem.** Determine the splitting field of  $x^4 + 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and its degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Is this field same as the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^4 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

*Proof.*  $x^4 + 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If we assume  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  is the one root to  $x^4 - 2$  in the field  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^4 - 2)$ , and  $\zeta_8$  be the 8-th root of unity, we can see,

$$\left(\sqrt[4]{2} \zeta_8^k\right)^4 = -2$$

for  $k = 1, 3, 5, 7$ . So the splitting field of  $x^4 + 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, \zeta_8)$ . Now notice that,

$$\left(\sqrt[4]{2} i\right)^4 = 2$$

and hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$  is splitting field of  $x^4 - 2$ . These fields are isomorphic. If we assume  $\mathbb{Q}$  is already contained in  $\mathbb{C}$  then we can write,

$$\zeta_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

, thus  $\zeta_8$  is already contained in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$  and  $i = \zeta_8^2$  thus  $i \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, \zeta_8)$  and hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, \zeta_8) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$ . ■

## § Problem 6

**Problem.** Find an algebraic closure of the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime.

*Solution.* Recall the construction of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We know  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a field containing  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $[\mathbb{F}_{p^n} : \mathbb{F}_p] = n$  and is the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^{p^n} - x$ . Also  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is unique up-to isomorphism. Now I **claim** that  $F = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It is not hard to see  $F$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  as any element  $\alpha \in F$  must lie in some  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  and thus it will satisfy the polynomial  $x^{p^n} - x$ .

Let,  $f(x)$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , it will split in some field  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . Which is a finite extension over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We know any finite extension over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  are unique and of the form  $\mathbb{F}_{p^j}$ , for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . WLOG we may write  $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^\ell}$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$ . So, all the root of  $f(x)$  lie in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^\ell} \subseteq F$ . Thus according to the definition of algebraic closure in **Dummit-Foote** or class notes,  $F$  is algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . ■

## § Problem 7

**Problem.** Give a proof of the fact that any two algebraic closures of a field are isomorphic. (You may learn a proof, and reproduce it here after understanding.)

*Proof.* For this we will use the isomorphism extension theorem for any arbitrary collection of polynomials (*Reference:* Fields and Galois Theory- Patrick Morandi).

**THEOREM. (Isomorphism Extension Theorem)** *Let,  $F, F'$  be fields and  $\sigma : F \rightarrow F'$  be an isomorphism. Let,  $S$  be a set of polynomial of  $F[x]$  with  $K$  being the splitting field of polynomials of  $S$ . Assume that  $S'$  be the set in  $F'[x]$ , corresponding to the set  $S$  i.e  $S' = \{\sigma(f) : f \in S\}$ ,  $K'$  be the splitting field of  $S'$  over  $F'$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\sigma} : K \rightarrow K'$ .*

We will use this theorem to prove uniqueness of algebraic closure. If we take  $S = F[x]$  then the algebraic closure of  $F$ ,  $F_1$  is the splitting field for the set  $S$ . If  $F_2$  is another algebraic closure of  $F$  then, it is also splitting field for the set  $S$ . We already have  $\text{Id} : F \rightarrow F$  an isomorphism, by the above theorem we can extend this to an isomorphic  $\sigma : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ . Thus algebraic closure of a field is unique up to isomorphism. ■

## § Problem 8

**Problem.** Prove that a finite field can never be algebraically closed.

*Solution.* Let,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are elements of a finite field  $F$  then the polynomial  $f(x) = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n) + a_k$  ( $a_k \neq 0$ ) do not have any root within the field  $F$ . ■

## § Problem 9

**Problem.** Factor the polynomial  $x^{16} - x$  in the fields

- (i)  $\mathbb{F}_4$
- (ii)  $\mathbb{F}_8$ .

*Solution.* (i)  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  is the field where  $f(x) = x^{16} - x$  splits completely in-fact all elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  are root of the above polynomial. Since  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ , it has 0, 1 as it's root and two more elements of  $\mathbb{F}_4$  are roots of the above polynomial. Since  $[\mathbb{F}_{16} : \mathbb{F}_4] = 2$ ,  $f(x)$  will have quadratic irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . If  $t \in \mathbb{F}_4$  and it is non-zero and  $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, t, t + 1 = t^2\}$ , we will have  $x, (x-1), (x-t), (x-t-1)$  as linear factor. Possible quadratic irreducible factors are,  $x^2 + x + t, x^2 + tx + 1, x^2 + (t+1)x + 1, x^2 + x + (t+1), x^2 + tx + t, x^2 + (t+1)x + (t+1)$ . These are irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  as these don't have any root in  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

$$x^{16} - x = x(x-1)(x-t)(x-t-1)(x^2+x+t)(x^2+tx+1)(x^2+(t+1)x+1)(x^2+x+(t+1))(x^2+tx+t)(x^2+(t+1)x+(t+1))$$

(ii)  $\mathbb{F}_8$  is not an intermediate subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , it also don't contain  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . No quadratic factor of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  will get split over  $\mathbb{F}_8$ , neither any higher degree irreducible terms will get factored in  $\mathbb{F}_8$ . Thus  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}_8$  will have same factorization of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The following fact, \*

$$x^{p^n} - x = \prod_{d|n} \prod_{\deg \pi = d} \pi(x)$$

where  $\pi(x)$  is irreducible (this is factorization in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ), will tell us that factorization of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  will have 2, factor of degree 1,  $x, (x-1)$  one factor of degree 2,  $x^2 + x + 1$  and 3 factor of degree 4,  $x^4 + x^3 + 1, x^4 + x + 1, x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ , ■

**Proof of \*:** We will prove the following result first. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$ . For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$f(x) \mid x^{p^n} - x \iff d \mid n$$

If  $f$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  of degree  $d$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^d$  and all elements  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$  satisfy  $\alpha^{p^d} = \alpha$ . Therefore,  $f(x) \mid x^{p^d} - x$  and, by induction,  $d \mid n \implies f \mid x^{p^n} - x$ . Conversely, assume  $f(x) \mid x^{p^n} - x$  and  $n = dq + r$  for some  $0 < r < d$ . As  $d \mid dq$  we get  $f(x) \mid x^{p^{dq}} - x$ . But any  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  satisfies  $g(x^{p^r}) = (g(x))^{p^r}$  and so,  $f(x) \mid g(x)^{p^r} - g(x)$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Therefore, the polynomial  $t^{p^r} - t$  has all  $p^d$  elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f)$  as roots and so,  $p^d \leq p^r \implies d \leq r$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $r = 0$  and so  $d \mid n$ .

Now we will prove the main statement. Let  $n \geq 1$ . In  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ ,

$$x^{p^n} - x = \prod_{d|n} \prod_{\substack{\deg f = d \\ f \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible}}} f(x)$$

By the previous lemma, the irreducible factors of  $x^{p^n} - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  are exactly the irreducible polynomials whose degree divides  $n$ . We now show that no such polynomial appears more than once in the factorization.

Let  $f(x) \mid x^{p^n} - x$  for some irreducible  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$  in a field  $F \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\alpha^{p^n} = \alpha$  in  $F$ . Therefore, in  $F[x]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{p^n} - x &= x^{p^n} - x - (\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha) \\ &= (x - \alpha)^{p^n} - (x - \alpha) && (F \text{ has characteristic } p) \\ \implies x^{p^n} - x &= (x - \alpha)((x - \alpha)^{p^n-1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

As the second factor in the last line above does not vanish at  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  cannot be a multiple root of  $x^{p^n} - x$ . Hence,  $(f(x))^2 \nmid x^{p^n} - x$  and so we are done.  $\square$

## § Problem 10

### Problem.

Find the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^4 + x^2 + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and its degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Solution.* Factorizing  $x^4 + x^2 + 1$  will give us  $(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1)$ , let  $\omega$  be 3-rd root of unity and it is not equal to 1. We can verify  $\omega, \omega^2$  are root of  $x^2 + x + 1$  and  $-\omega, -\omega^2$  are root of  $x^2 - x + 1$ . Thus, the given polynomial splits in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ . The degree of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)|_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is 2.  $\blacksquare$

## § Problem 11

**Problem.** Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $F$ . Prove that  $K$  is a splitting field (of some collection of polynomials) over  $F$  iff every irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$  that has a root in  $K$  splits completely in  $K[x]$ .

*Solution.* ( $\implies$ ) Let,  $K$  be a splitting field for  $f(x) \in F[x]$  (taking collection of only one polynomial as for finite extension we can consider  $K$  as splitting field of finite collection of polynomial. But then taking product of those polynomial will work). Let,  $p(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial over  $F$  and it has a root  $\alpha \in K$ . Let,  $\beta$  be a root of  $p(x)$ . Now, it is clear that  $K(\alpha)$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $F(\alpha)$ . To see this, note that  $f(x)$  splits completely over  $K \subseteq K(\alpha)$ . Furthermore, suppose  $L$  is a field over which  $f(x)$  splits completely, and  $F(\alpha) \subseteq L \subseteq K(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha \in L$ , and since  $K$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $F$ , we have  $K \subseteq L$ . Thus,  $K(\alpha) \subseteq L$  and  $L = K(\alpha)$  are equal. Likewise,  $K(\beta)$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $F(\beta)$ . By isomorphism extension theorem, we can get an isomorphism between  $K(\alpha)$  and  $K(\beta)$ . Since,  $\alpha \in K$  we can say  $K \cong K(\alpha) \cong K(\beta)$ , so the degree of extension  $K(\beta)|_K$  is one. And hence  $\beta \in K$ . All roots of  $p(x)$  lines in  $K$  if one root of  $p(x)$  lies in  $K$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Let,  $K|_F$  is finite extension and every irreducible polynomial with a root in  $K$  splits completely. Let,  $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Let,  $m_i(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha_i$  over  $F$ . All the minimal polynomial  $m_i(x)$  will split completely by the hypothesis. Consider the product,  $f(x) = m_1(x) \cdots m_n(x)$ . We can see  $K$  is splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $F$ .  $\blacksquare$

## § Problem 12

**Problem.** Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be finite extensions of  $F$  contained in the field  $K$ , and assume both are splitting fields over  $F$ .

- (a) Prove that their composite  $K_1K_2$  is a splitting field over  $F$ .
- (b) Prove that  $K_1 \cap K_2$  is a splitting field over  $F$ .

*Proof.* (a) Consider two finite extensions of the field  $F$ , denoted as  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , both contained within the larger field  $K$ . Let's assume that both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are splitting fields over  $F$ . Since  $K_1$  is a finite extension, it

can be expressed as the splitting field for a finite number of polynomials, specifically, the minimal polynomials of its field generators. By taking the product of these polynomials, we can establish that  $K_1$  is indeed the splitting field for a specific polynomial, denoted as  $f_1(x)$ . Similarly,  $K_2$  serves as the splitting field for another polynomial,  $f_2(x)$ .

Now, let's consider the composite field  $K_1K_2$ . It's important to note that the polynomial  $f_1(x)f_2(x)$  completely factorizes within  $K_1K_2$ . Consequently,  $K_1K_2$  contains the splitting field. On the other hand, the splitting field of  $f_1(x)f_2(x)$  is generated by the roots of these two polynomials. The roots of  $f_1(x)$  are elements of  $K_1$ , which is a subset of  $K_1K_2$ , and similarly, the roots of  $f_2(x)$  are elements of  $K_2$ , also a subset of  $K_1K_2$ . As a result, the splitting field must be contained within  $K_1K_2$ . In conclusion, we can establish that  $K_1K_2$  serves as the splitting field.

(b) Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be finite extensions, both acting as splitting fields over  $F$ . Now, consider the field  $K_1 \cap K_2$ . Our goal is to demonstrate that any irreducible polynomial having a root in  $K_1 \cap K_2$  also has all its roots within this same intersection. This proof will establish that  $K_1 \cap K_2$  qualifies as a splitting field. Suppose we have an irreducible polynomial  $p(x)$  with a root in  $K_1 \cap K_2$ . This particular root belongs to both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . However, since  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are both splitting fields, it follows that all the other roots of  $p(x)$  must also reside in  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . Consequently, every root of  $p(x)$  is within  $K_1 \cap K_2$ . As a result of **Problem 11**, we can confirm that  $K_1 \cap K_2$  serves as a splitting field. ■

### § Problem 13

**Problem.** For any prime  $p$  and any nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  prove that  $x^p - x + a$  is irreducible and separable over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

*Proof.* For any element  $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $t^p - t = 0$  and hence  $f(x) = t^p - t + a$  has no root in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  as  $a \neq 0$ . Let,  $\alpha$  be a root of  $t$  is some extended field  $F(\alpha)$ , the following calculation shows,  $\alpha + j$  is root of  $f(x)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha + 1) &= (\alpha + 1)^p - (\alpha + 1) + a \\ &= \alpha^p + 1 - \alpha - 1 + a \\ &= f(\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  then we can write,  $f = gh$  where,  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , so  $\alpha + j$  will be roots of  $g$  in  $F(\alpha)$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , hence the sum of roots of  $g$  is  $(\deg g)\alpha + k$  where,  $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . So,  $g(x) = x^{\deg g} - ((\deg g)\alpha + k)x^{\deg g - 1} + \dots$ , but then  $(\deg g)\alpha + k \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and hence  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . ■

### § Problem 14

**Problem.** Prove that  $x^{p^n} - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (x - \alpha)$ . Derive that the product of the nonzero elements of a finite field is +1 if  $p = 2$  and is -1 if  $p$  is odd. For  $p$  odd and  $n = 1$  derive Wilson's theorem:  $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let,  $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$ , and  $\alpha$  is a non-zero, non-unit element of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  then,  $\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha = 0$  (as  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a finite field and hence perfect). We can also notice  $f(x)$  has roots 0 and 1. Thus we can write,

$$f(x) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} (x - a)$$

and hence  $x^{p^n} - 1 = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (x - a)$ . From here we get  $\prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} a = (-1)^{|\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times| - 1}$ , which is 1 if  $p = 2$  and -1 if  $p$  is odd prime. We know,  $\mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p - 1) = (-1)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  (if  $p = 2$  then  $1 = -1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ). ■